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Aims & Objectives

The first volume of “The Dialogue” was published in January, 2006 and has been recognized by the Higher Education Commission in March, 2010.

The Dialogue defines itself as critical in character, truly international in scope, and totally engaged with the central issues facing the world today. Taking as its point of departure the simple but essential notion that no one approach has all the answers, it aims to provide a global forum for a rapidly expanding community of scholars from across the range of academic disciplines and aims to encourage debate, dialogue and reflection.

Scope

This journal seeks articles related to the social sciences. Its scope includes the following topics or other topics as the editorial board may consider appropriate. Articles need to make a significant contribution to the theoretical and/or methodological literature on the subject and contain a strong social and humanities component.

- Anthropology
- Cross-cultural studies
- Demography
- Development studies
- Education
- Ethics
- Geography
- History
- International relations
- Linguistics & Literature
- Library science

Authors’ Guidelines

1. Research papers, submitted online through https://journals.qurtuba.edu.pk/ojs/index.php/thedialogue/submissions, shall be entertained for review and further publication process.

2. The submitted articles are screened and reviewed at the desk of Assistant Editor and or by the Internal Review Committee, for the prescribed scope, contribution to literature, and novelty of the research conducted.

3. If an article does not meet the minimum criteria, the Assistant Editor shall inform the author accordingly, online/ through email within two months of its submission. The decision of the Internal Review Committee/Assistant Editor shall be considered as final.

4. Article process fee, through demand draft of PKR 4000/- (non-refundable) in favor of Qurtuba University of Science & Information Technology shall be deposited at the time of submission of the article. University shall not be responsible for any type of fee deposited by any individual/organization, for publication/conference, etc. to any other source(s)/individual(s)/employee(s).

5. The author shall send online the scan copy of the bank demand draft through OJS/email of the relevant journal.

6. The author shall send original demand draft to the Finance Manager of Qurtuba University of Science and Information Technology.
7. After a successful Desk Review, the research paper will be sent for double-blind peer review.

8. In case of minor observation(s), changes from the reviewer/ blind peer review, the author shall have to rectify the same and make changes in the article accordingly.

9. In the case of major observation(s), changes from the blind peer review, the paper shall not be considered for publication.

10. When the Review Committee approves the article, then the author will be advised to deposit a publication fee of PKR 15,000/-, which would be paid through a demand draft in favor of Qurtuba University of Science & Information Technology.

11. The maximum number of authors in a single paper shall be three. Authorship and order of authorship cannot be changed after the desk review.

12. Every article is processed for similarity index, using Turnitin®. An article, having a similarity index of more than 19% in total, and/or more than 4% with a single source, will not be considered for publication.

13. In the case of grammatical mistakes, the author(s) may be asked to get the paper proofread by a professional. (Proofreading certificate has to be attached).

14. The author of two papers shall not be allowed for publication in a single issue, regardless of the fact whether the author is the principal or a co-author.

15. Papers for publication from the renowned authors of technologically advanced countries shall be entertained on a priority basis.

16. A hard copy of the Acceptance Letter, duly signed by the Editor, shall be considered as the right claim. The digitally signed hard copy shall not be considered.

17. Manuscript style guidelines: Manuscript must be free of all self-references to the author.
   - Short sentences, short paragraphs, and simple, clear phraseology, with direct tenses, are recommended virtues.
   - The submitted manuscript should be single-spaced and fully justified.
   - Margins of the page should be 2 inches from all sides, except bottom i.e., 1.5 inch.
   - The font should be in Times New Roman 11 points.
   - Words from languages, other than English, should be properly italicized. Quotations should be in double quotation marks.
   - Long quotations of three or more lines should be double-indented and single-spaced, with quotation marks.
   - The numbers of 12 and higher should be in figures.
   - Dates should be in the form e.g., September 5, 1990; 1994-1998; or, the 1990s.
   - All headings should be in bold capitals; all ranged left. They should not be numbered.

18. Notes and references: Notes should contain bibliographical information only. These should be set out in a single-spaced list at the end of the article, not at the foot of the typescript pages. Your bibliographical notes, which will be printed as a list of endnotes after the article, should include at least the following information in APA:
   - For books or other free-standing publications: author, the full title of, work (italic), place of publication, name of the publisher, date of publication (in parenthesis) & the page(s) referred to.
   - For periodical articles: full name of the author, the title of the article (in quotation marks), the title of periodical (italic), year of publication, page numbers of article.
**Peer Review Statement**

*The Dialogue* uses double-blind review, which means that both the reviewer and author identities are concealed from the reviewers, and vice versa, throughout the review process.

To facilitate this, authors need to ensure that their manuscripts are prepared in a way that does not give away their identity. To help with this preparation please ensure the following when submitting to “*The Dialogue*”.

- Submit the *Title Page* containing the Authors details and *Blinded Manuscript* with no author details as 2 separate files.

**Information to help prepare the Title Page**

This should include the title, authors' names and affiliations, and a complete address for the corresponding author including telephone and e-mail address.

**Information to help prepare the Blinded Manuscript**

Besides the obvious need to remove names and affiliations under the title within the manuscript, there are other steps that need to be taken to ensure the manuscript is correctly prepared for double-blind peer review. To assist with this process the key items that need to be observed are as follows:

- Use the third person to refer to work the Authors have previously undertaken, e.g., replace any phrases like “as we have shown before” with “… has been shown before [Anonymous, 2021]”.
- Make sure figures do not contain any affiliation related identifier.
- Do not eliminate essential self-references or other references but limit self-references only to papers that are relevant for those reviewing the submitted paper.
- Cite papers published by the Author in the text as follows: ‘[Anonymous, 2021]’.
- For blinding in the reference list: ‘[Anonymous 2021] Details omitted for double-blind reviewing.’
- Remove references to funding sources
- Do not include acknowledgments
- Remove any identifying information, including author names, from file names and ensure document properties are also anonymized.

**Authorship Policy**

The authorship policy of “*The Dialogue*” refers to the agreement on the part of the author to comply the defined ethical standards of this journal. We believe that the publication in this journal is an essential building block in the development of the coherent network of knowledge. It is a
direct reflection of the quality of work of the author and the institutions that support them. Hence authors should comply the following ethical and behavioral standards.

- **Authorship of the paper**: Authorship should be limited to those who have made a significant contribution to the conception, design, execution, or interpretation of the reported study. Transparency about the contributions of authors is encouraged, that is to be submitted in an “authorship statement”.

- **Originality and plagiarism**: The authors should ensure that they have written entirely original works, and if the authors have used the work and/or words of others, that this has been appropriately cited or quoted.

- **Data access and retention**: Authors may be asked to provide the raw data in connection with a paper for editorial review, and should be prepared to provide public access to such data.

- **Multiple, redundant or concurrent publication**: An author should not in general publish manuscripts describing essentially the same research in more than one journal or primary publication. “Journal of Managerial Sciences” does not view the following uses of a work as prior publication: publication in the form of an abstract; publication as an academic thesis.

- **Acknowledgement of sources**: Proper acknowledgment of the work of others must always be given.

- **Disclosure and conflicts of interest**: All submissions must include disclosure of all relationships that could be viewed as presenting a potential “conflict of interest”.

- **Fundamental errors in published works**: When an author discovers a significant error or inaccuracy in his/her own published work, it is the author’s obligation to promptly notify the journal editor or publisher and cooperate with the editor to retract or correct the paper.

- **Changes to authorship**: Authors are strongly advised to ensure the correct author group, the Corresponding Author, and the order of authors at submission. Changes of authorship by adding or deleting authors, and/or changes in Corresponding Author, and/or changes in the sequence of authors are not accepted after acceptance of a manuscript.

- **Confidentiality**: Authors should treat all communication with the Journal as confidential which includes correspondence with direct representatives from the Journal such as Editors-in-Chief and/or Handling Editors and reviewers’ reports unless explicit consent has been received to share information.

- **Authorship issues or disputes**: In the case of an authorship dispute during peer review or after acceptance and publication, the Journal will not be in a position to investigate or adjudicate. Authors will be asked to resolve the dispute themselves. If they are unable the Journal reserves the right to withdraw a manuscript from the editorial process or in case of a published paper raise the issue with the authors’ institution(s) and abide by its guidelines.

- **Affiliation**: The primary affiliation for each author should be the institution where the majority of their work was done. If an author has subsequently moved, the current address may additionally be stated. Addresses will not be updated or changed after publication of the article.
Authorship Statement

All persons who meet authorship criteria are listed as authors, and all authors certify that they have participated sufficiently in the work to take public responsibility for the content, including participation in the concept, design, analysis, writing, or revision of the manuscript. Furthermore, each author certifies that this material or similar material has not been and will not be submitted to or published in any other publication before its appearance in the “The Dialogue”.

Authorship Contributions

Please indicate the specific contributions made by each author. The name of each author must appear at least once in each of the three categories below.

Category 1
Conception and design of study: _____________, _______________, _______________.
Acquisition of data: _____________, ___________, ______________.
Analysis and/or interpretation of data: _____________, _____________, ______________.

Category 2
Drafting the manuscript: _____________, _____________, ______________.
Revising the manuscript critically for important intellectual content: _____________, ______________.

Category 3
Approval of the version of the manuscript to be published (the names of all authors must be listed):
______________, ______________, ______________.

This statement is signed by all the authors:

Author’s name:
HEC Plagiarism Policy

1. Preamble

In the wake of fundamental improvements being introduced in the system of Higher Education in Pakistan, the credit, respect, recognition of research and scholarly publications, career development and financial gains are now linked with such original works accomplished without replicating the efforts of other researchers. It has therefore become necessary that the menace of plagiarism is highlighted and curbed through exemplary punitive actions. On the other hand, we must also guard against bogus or false complaints in order to prevent victimization which may make researchers and scholars shy away from research simply because of the fear of prosecution. A Plagiarism Policy has therefore become necessary to create awareness, define various forms in which Plagiarism exhibits itself, present a methodology of investigation, cater for punitive action proportional to the extent of the offence and even address the issue of false or spurious complaints.

2. Definition

According to the Concise Oxford Dictionary, Plagiarism is defined as "taking and using the thoughts, writings, and inventions of another person as one's own". This, or various similar definitions found in recognized publications / documents, are very broad and can be used to create awareness about Plagiarism but are not practical enough to apply in order to ascertain guilt or innocence in specific cases. In order to establish the violation of ethical norms, or academic or intellectual dishonesty resulting from Plagiarism and to take punitive actions in this regard, it is necessary that the variety of forms in which Plagiarism manifests itself are known. These include but are not limited to the following:

“Verbatim copying, near-verbatim copying, or purposely paraphrasing portions of another author's paper or unpublished report without citing the exact reference. Copying elements of another author's paper, such as equations or illustrations that are not common knowledge, or copying or purposely paraphrasing sentences without citing the source. Verbatim copying portions of another author's paper or from reports by citing but not clearly differentiating what text has been copied (e.g. not applying quotation marks correctly) and /or not citing the source correctly” [1]. "The unacknowledged use of computer programs, mathematical / computer models
3. Explanation from Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia

Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia on the web describes and explains Plagiarism as "the unauthorized use or close imitation of the language and thoughts of another author and the representation of them as one's own original work. Unlike cases of forgery, in which the authenticity of the writing, document, or some other kind of object itself is in question, plagiarism is concerned with the issue of false attribution. Within academia, plagiarism by students, professors, or researchers is considered academic dishonesty or academic fraud and offenders are subject to academic censure. In journalism, plagiarism is considered a breach of journalistic ethics, and reporters caught plagiarizing typically face disciplinary measures ranging from suspension to termination. While plagiarism in scholarship and journalism has a centuries-old history, the development of the Internet, where articles appear as electronic text, has made the physical act of copying the work of others much easier. Plagiarism is different from copyright infringement. While both terms may apply to a particular act, they emphasize different aspects of the transgression. Copyright infringement is a violation of the rights of the copyright holder, which involves the loss of income and artistic control of the material when it is used without the copyright holder's consent. On the other hand, plagiarism is concerned with the unearned increment to the plagiarizing author's reputation. In the academic world, plagiarism by students is a very serious academic offense which can result in punishments such as a failing grade on the particular assignment (typically at the high school level), or a failing grade for the course (typically at the college or university level). For cases of repeated plagiarism, or for cases where a student has committed a severe type of plagiarism (e.g. copying an entire article and submitting it as his / her own work), a student may be suspended or expelled, and any academic degrees or awards may be revoked. For professors and researchers, who are required to act as role models for their students, plagiarism is a very serious offence, and is punishable by sanctions ranging from suspension to termination, along with the loss of credibility and integrity. Charges of plagiarism against students, faculty members and staff are typically heard by internal disciplinary committees, which students and faculty members have agreed to be bound by." [3]

Wikipedia also describes Self-plagiarism as "the re-use of significant, identical, or nearly identical portions of one’s own work without acknowledging that one is doing so or without citing the original work. Typically, high public-interest texts are not a subject of self-plagiarism; however, the authors should not violate copyright where applicable. "Public-interest texts" include such
material as social, professional, and cultural opinions usually published in newspapers and magazines." [3]

4. Aim: The aim of this policy is to apprise students, teachers, researchers and staff about Plagiarism and how it can be avoided. It is also aimed at discouraging Plagiarism by regulating and authorizing punitive actions against those found guilty of the act of Plagiarism.

5. Applicability: The policy is applicable to students, teachers, researchers and staff of all institutions and organizations in Pakistan who are involved in writing or publishing their work. In this context a "Student" is a person who, on the date of submission of his / her paper / work is a registered student of any University or Degree Awarding Institution recognized by Higher Education Commission (HEC). "Teachers and Researchers" include faculty members or equivalent of the University / Organization or/of a constituent or affiliated college or researchers of an organization and such other persons as may be declared to be so by regulations. “Staff” is any employee of an organization involved in writing and publishing his / her work. Any person listing his CV on the website or any current publication or applying for any benefit on the basis of published or presented work that is plagiarized will be liable to be punished as per prescribed rules.

6. Responsibility of the Institutions & Organizations: All institutions and organizations are responsible to apprise their students, teachers, researchers and staff of the definition, implications and resulting punishments in case, after due investigation, they are found guilty of plagiarism. The institutions / organizations must acquaint their students, teachers, researchers and staff with this policy and ensure that they are fully aware that all authors are deemed to be individually and collectively responsible for the contents of papers published by Journals / Publishers etc. Hence, it is the responsibility of each author, including the coauthors, to ensure that papers submitted for publication should attain the highest ethical standards with respect to plagiarism. To facilitate the institutions / organizations in creating awareness about Plagiarism, a modified version of "Little Book of Plagiarism", a publication of Leeds Metropolitan University is appended as "Annexure" to this policy. Any University or Degree Awarding Institution which does not adopt and implement this policy will have its degree derecognized by HEC.

7. Reporting: To inform HEC or respective Universities / Organizations of alleged plagiarism, a complaint is to be made by email, post, fax or other means to HEC Quality Assurance Division or respective Universities / Organizations. In case of lodging a complaint in the form of a letter, copy may be sent to HEC. The following information is to be provided:

a) “Citation of the original paper or document or idea which was plagiarized, (paper title, author(s), publication title, month and year of publication if available and the journal, in which published, with details). If the original paper is unpublished (e.g. an institutional technical report, an on-line paper), the complainant is to provide as much information as possible to ensure authenticity of the claim.

b) The citation of the alleged plagiarizing paper (paper title, author(s), publication title, month and year of publication if available and the journal with details in which published). If the paper is unpublished (e.g. an institutional technical report, an on-line paper), the complainant is to provide as much information as possible to ensure proper investigation.
c) Copies of both papers if possible.

d) Any other information that would help HEC or respective Universities / Organizations to efficiently resolve the claim.” [1]

e) Name, designation, organization, address, e-mail address and telephone number of the complainant.

Investigation:

8. Upon receipt of an allegation of Plagiarism, the HEC Quality Assurance Division will request the respective Vice Chancellor / Rector / Head of the Organization to carry out investigation. The complaints received through HEC or directly by a University / Organization will be dealt with by the Universities / Organizations according to the procedures given below. The Vice Chancellor / Rector / Head of the Organization will have the discretion of not taking any action on anonymous complaints. For investigation of Plagiarism cases, the Vice Chancellor / Rector / Head of Organization will have an obligation to:

a) Constitute a “Plagiarism Standing Committee” consisting of 3 senior faculty members, a subject specialist in that particular field is to be coopted, a senior student (only if a student is being investigated upon) and a nominee of the HEC. The seniority of the members of “Plagiarism Standing Committee” should be of a level keeping in view the seniority of the individual being investigated upon and the nature and gravity of the offence.

b) Provide a guideline, prepared by HEC for the functioning of the "Plagiarism Standing Committee", to all members of the Committee.

c) Provide clear terms of reference to the “Plagiarism Standing Committee” for their investigation.

d) The members of the “Plagiarism Standing Committee” are to sign a confidentiality statement that during the investigation they will, under no circumstances, disclose any individual author's name, paper titles, referees, or any other personal or specific information concerning the plagiarism complaint under investigation, nor shall they reveal the names of the committee members.

e) Provide opportunity to the author / authors under investigation to justify the originality of their concepts and research work. Similar opportunity will also be provided to the author whose paper is deemed to have been Plagiarized and / or the complainant, to justify the complaint.

f) Provide every opportunity to the “Plagiarism Standing Committee” to use all foreseeable means to investigate the plagiarism claim.

9. The Plagiarism Standing Committee shall then conduct the investigation. Depending on the details of the claim, the investigation may include, but may not be limited to, any or all of the following steps:

a) Manual and / or automated tests for content similarity [1].

b) Determination of the extent and quantum of significant material plagiarized.
c) Soliciting comments to the claim, from the Editor-in-Chief (of a journal) or Program Chair (of conference proceedings) and referees of either or both papers.

d) Consultation with legal counsel. [1]

e) Consult / contact witnesses and record statements there-of if so required.

f) Consult / contact present and / or past employers of the authors.

10. The “Plagiarism Standing Committee” will submit its report with clear cut findings and recommendations to the Vice Chancellor / Rector / Head of the Organization within a specified period not exceeding sixty days. The Vice Chancellor / Rector / Head of the Organization will have the discretion to implement the recommendations after approval through the statutory process and take punitive action against the offender as per penalties prescribed under this policy or to forward the report to HEC or his / her parent organization for further action if outside their purview / jurisdiction.

Penalties for Plagiarism

11. Plagiarism is an intellectual crime. As such the penalties for plagiarism should not only take into account the severity and recurrence of the offence, but also the intellectual standing of the offender. This entails a gradual increase in punitive action with minimum punishment for a first-time offence by a student who copies a homework assignment to a maximum punishment for a teacher/researcher/staff who attempts to present / publish, or actually presents / publishes plagiarized material; as his own, in a conference / journal. Therefore, the punishments for Plagiarism have been divided into two separate categories, i.e. those for “Teachers, Researchers and Staff” and those for the "Students". The groups have already been defined in para 5 above.

(a) Penalties for Teachers, Researchers and Staff: When an act of plagiarism, as described earlier in paras 2 and 3, is found to have occurred, the "Plagiarism Standing Committee" in its recommendations, DEPENDING UPON THE SERIOUSNESS OF THE PROVEN OFFENCE, will advise the Competent Authority of the Organization, to take any one or a combination of the following disciplinary action(s) against the teacher, researcher and / or staff found guilty of the offence:

   (i) Major Penalty:

In cases where most of the paper (or key results) have been exactly copied from any published work of other people without giving the reference to the original work, then (a) a major penalty of dismissal from service needs to be prescribed, along with (b) the offender may be “Black Listed” and may NOT be eligible for employment in any academic / research organization, and (c) the notification of “Black Listing” of the author(s) may be published in the print media or may be publicized on different websites at the discretion of the Vice-Chancellor / Rector / Head of the organization.

   (ii) Moderate Penalty:
In case where some paragraphs including some key results have been copied without citation, then a moderate penalty involving any one or both of the following needs to be imposed (a) demotion to the next lower grade, (b) the notification of “Black Listing” of the author(s) which may be published in the print media or may be publicized on different websites at the discretion of the Vice-Chancellor / Rector / Head of the organization.

(iii) Minor Penalty:

In case a few paragraphs have been copied from an external source without giving reference of that work, then minor penalties need to be prescribed for a specified period involving any one or more of the following: (a) warning, (b) freezing of all research grants, (c) the promotions/annual increments of the offender may be stopped, for a specified period and (d) HEC or the University / Organization may debar the offender from sponsorship of research funding, travel grant, supervision of Ph.D. students, scholarship, fellowship or any other funded program for a period as deemed appropriate by the “Plagiarism Standing Committee”.

(b) Students: When an act of plagiarism, as described earlier in paras 2 and 3, is found to have occurred, the “Plagiarism Standing Committee” in its recommendations, DEPENDING UPON THE SERIOUSNESS OF THE PROVEN OFFENCE, will advise the Vice Chancellor / Head of the Organization, to take any one or a combination of the following disciplinary action(s) against the student(s) found guilty of the offence:

(i) In the case of thesis, the responsibility of plagiarism will be of the student and not of the supervisor or members of the Supervisory Committee.

(ii) The offender may be expelled/ rusticated from the University and from joining any institution of Higher Education in Pakistan for a period as deemed appropriate by the “Plagiarism Standing Committee”. A notice may be circulated among all academic institutions and research organization to this effect.

(iii) The offender may be relegated to a lower class.

(iv) The offender may be given a failure grade in the subject.

(v) The offender may be fined an amount as deemed appropriate.

(vi) The offender may be given a written warning if the offence is minor and is committed for the first time.

(vii) The degree of a student may be withdrawn if AT ANY TIME it is proven that he or she has presented Plagiarized work in his / her MS, MPhil or PhD dissertation if the extent of plagiarism comes under the category of major penalty as conveyed in Para 11(a-1).

(viii) The notification of the plagiarism by the author(s) may be published in the print media or may be publicized on different websites at the discretion of the Vice Chancellor / Rector / Head of the Organization.
(ix) HEC or the University / Organization may debar the offender from sponsorship of research funding, travel grant, scholarship, fellowship or any other funded program for a period as deemed appropriate by the "Plagiarism Standing Committee".

(x) Any other penalty deemed fit by the “Plagiarism Standing Committee”.

(c) Co-Authors/Declarations 1. Provided that a co-author has listed a paper in his/her resume and applied for a benefit forthwith, any co-author is deemed to be equally responsible for any plagiarism committed in a published paper presented to or published in a journal or presented at a conference. 2. All Journals in Pakistan must require ALL authors to sign a declaration that the material presented in the creative work is not plagiarized (Sample Attached) 12. Additional Actions Required: In addition to the above punishments, the following additional common actions must be taken if the offence of Plagiarism is established:

a) If the plagiarized paper is accessible on the web page its access will be removed. The paper itself will be kept in the database for future research or legal purposes.

b) The author(s) will be asked to write a formal letter of apology to the authors of the Original paper that was plagiarized, including an admission of plagiarism. Should the author(s) refuse to comply then additional punishments as deemed fit may be recommended by the "Plagiarism Standing Committee.

c) If the paper is submitted but not published yet, the paper will be rejected by the Editor-in-Chief or the Program Chair without further revisions and without any further plagiarism investigation conducted. [1] However, Warning may be issued to the author/ co-author.

13. Appeal: As the penalties are severe, the affected person(s) will have the right to appeal to the Chairman HEC / Vice Chancellor / Rector / Head of the Organization for a review of the findings or may submit a mercy petition within 30 days from the date of notification. Such appeals / petitions will be disposed off within 60 days of receipt, by following the laid down procedures regarding such appeals.

14. Penalty for Wrong Reporting / False Allegation: If the case of Plagiarism is not proved and it is confirmed that a false allegation was lodged, the Vice Chancellor / Rector / Head of the Organization will inform the complainant’s Organization and will recommend disciplinary action against the complainant, to be taken by his / her parent organization.
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Complaint Handling Policy and Procedure

This policy refers to the procedures and actions adopted by “The Dialogue” to resolve complaints of the authors/academicians/readers received. We welcome the complaints and try our level best to solve the matters to the entire satisfaction of the complainant. We would like to inform our authors and readers to read and understand the authors guidelines concerning “The Dialogue,” because we will entertain only those complaints whose solutions and explanations are not given in the section of the journal.

Our Understanding about Complaints

➢ The meaning of complaint we understand is any type of unhappiness or dissatisfaction regarding the publication and policies of the journal.
➢ The complaint may be due to the long delay in publication or replies sought by the author.
➢ The complaint may also be about the decision of the editorial team or editors regarding the manuscripts of the author.
➢ Sometimes, the complaints may be about the rude responses or a misjudgment of the editorial team.

Types of Complaints

➢ Complaints from the author.
➢ Complaints about plagiarism.
➢ Duplicate publication or submitting the article to various journal at the same time.
➢ Research results misappropriations.
➢ Complaints regarding the research errors and fraud.
➢ Violations of research standards.
➢ Conflicts of interest.
➢ Bias behavior of reviewers.

Editorial Complaints Policy

The Dialogue editor/staff will take a prompt action on every complaint, irrespective of the authors, academicians or our readers and make their full efforts to solve and satisfy the person to their entire satisfaction. We, at the same time, do not consider every statement as a complaint, but if it a healthy criticism, we thank the person and try to improve our systems.

Policy for Handling Complaints

If the journal receives any complaints which infringes intellectual property rights or contains material, inaccuracies or unlawful material, the journal will immediately pay full attention, investigate about the various aspects of the complaint and try to solve and satisfy the complainants. Editorial staff and editors make their full efforts to improve the quality of the journal and minimize the mistakes to zero. However, we still accept that occasionally mistakes may occur.
Response Time

We take minimum time (maximum 4 weeks) to solve the complaints of dissatisfaction.

How to make a complaint

The complaints of the authors and readers should be sent to the Editor in Chief with the name of the journal by email: thedialog@qurtuba.edu.pk

Do you genuinely have any grievance in a matter related to processing or publishing of your manuscript, please write to us. As a responsible publisher, we will address your grievance with due diligence and full of your satisfaction.

Conflict of Interest Policy

The Dialogue

In order to encourage transparency without impeding publication, all authors, reviewers and editors must declare any association that poses a conflict of interest in connection with the manuscript. There should be no contractual relations or proprietary considerations that would affect the publication of information contained in a submitted manuscript. A competing interest for a scholarly journal is anything that interferes with, or could reasonably be perceived as interfering with, the full and objective presentation, review, or publication of research findings, or of articles that comment on or review research findings. Potential conflicts of interest exist when an author, editor or reviewer has financial, personal or professional interests in a publication that might influence their scientific judgment.

Examples of such conflicts include, but are not limited to:

  • Financial conflicts: stock ownership; patents; paid employment or consultancy; board membership; research grants; travel grants and honoraria for speaking or participation at meetings; gifts
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