

The Sciencetech

(An International Quarterly Research Journal)

ISSN (Print):2710-1533

ISSN(Online):2710-1541

Journal Policies

Ethics and Malpractices

Qurtuba university publishing interface for all its journals is having the authors, the journal editor(s), the reviewer and the publisher. It is mandatory for all the stakeholders to be agreed upon the standards of ethical behavior, described in this statement which is mainly based on the "Guidelines for Journal" (Approved in the meeting of the Board of Governors). This document "Guidelines for Journal" describes the ethical responsibilities of all three main stakeholders of the QUSIT journals i.e., the editors, the reviewers, the publisher and the authors.

A. Editors' responsibilities

1. *Publication decisions*

The editorial board is responsible for deciding which of the papers submitted to the journal will be published. The decision will be based on the paper's novelty, originality and clarity, and the study's validity and its relevance to the journal's scope. The editorial board will evaluate manuscripts regardless of the authors' race, gender, sexual orientation, religious belief, ethnic origin, citizenship, or political philosophy. The editorial board will have the decision authority not to include papers having negative critical orientation based on authors' race, gender, sexual orientation, religious belief, ethnic origin, citizenship, or political philosophy. Current legal requirements regarding defamation and plagiarism should also be considered.

2. *Confidentiality*

The editorial board and any editorial staff must not disclose any information about a submitted manuscript to anyone other than the corresponding author, reviewers, potential reviewers, other editorial advisers, and the publisher, as appropriate till it is published.

3. *Disclosure and conflicts of interest*

Unpublished materials disclosed in a submitted paper will not be used by the editor or the members of the editorial board for their own research purposes without the author's explicit written consent of the author(s).

4. *Publication decisions*

The handling Editor-in-Chief of the journal is responsible for deciding which of the submitted articles should be published. The Editor-in-Chief may be guided by the policies of the journal's Editorial Board and constrained by such legal requirements as

shall then be in force regarding defamation and plagiarism. The Editor-in-Chief may consult with other editors or reviewers in making this decision.

B. Reviewers' responsibilities

1. Contribution to editorial decisions

The peer-reviewing process assists the editor and the editorial board in making editorial decisions and may also serve the author in improving the paper.

2. Promptness

Any selected reviewer who feels unqualified to review the research reported in a manuscript or knows that its prompt review will be impossible should notify the editor and withdraw from the review process.

3. Confidentiality

Any manuscripts received for review must be treated as confidential documents. They must not be disclosed to or discussed with others except as authorized by the Editor-in-Chief.

4. Standards of objectivity

Reviews should be conducted objectively. Personal criticism of the author is inappropriate. Reviewers should express their views clearly with supporting arguments.

5. Acknowledgement of sources

Reviewers should identify cases in which relevant published work referred to in the paper has not been cited in the reference section. They should point out whether observations or arguments derived from other publications are accompanied by the respective source. Reviewers will notify the editor of any substantial similarity or overlap between the manuscript under consideration and any other published paper of which they have personal knowledge.

6. Disclosure and conflict of interest

Privileged information or ideas obtained through peer review must be kept confidential and not used for personal advantage. Reviewers should not consider manuscripts in which they have conflicts of interest resulting from competitive, collaborative, or other relationships or connections with any of the authors, companies, or institutions associated with the papers.

C. Authors' responsibilities

1. Reporting standards

Authors of original research reports should present an accurate account of the work performed as well as an objective discussion of its significance. Underlying data should be represented accurately in the paper. A paper should contain sufficient detail and references to permit others to replicate the work. Fraudulent or knowingly inaccurate statements constitute unethical behavior and are unacceptable.

2. Data access and retention

Authors could be asked to provide the raw data of their study together with the paper for editorial review and should be prepared to make the data publicly available if practicable. In any event, authors should ensure accessibility of such data to other competent professionals for at least ten years after publication (preferably via an institutional or subject-based data repository or other data center).

3. Originality, plagiarism and acknowledgement of sources

Authors will submit only entirely original works, and will appropriately cite or quote the work and/or words of others. Publications that have been influential in determining the nature of the reported work should also be cited.

4. *Multiple, redundant or concurrent publication*

In general, papers describing essentially the same research should not be published in more than one journal. Submitting the same paper to more than one journal constitutes unethical publishing behavior and is unacceptable. Manuscripts which have been published as copyrighted material elsewhere cannot be submitted. In addition, manuscripts under review by the journal should not be resubmitted to copyrighted publications. In case of publication, they permit the use of their work under CC BY-SA 4. license, which allows others to copy, distribute and transmit the work as well as to adapt the work and to make commercial use of it.

5. *Authorship of the paper*

Authorship should be limited to those who have made a significant contribution to the conception, design, execution, or interpretation of the reported study. All those who have made significant contributions should be listed as co-authors. The corresponding author ensures that all contributing co-authors and no uninvolved persons are included in the author list. The corresponding author will also verify that all co-authors have approved the final version of the paper and have agreed to its submission for publication.

6. *Disclosure and conflicts of interest*

All authors should include a statement disclosing any financial or other substantive conflicts of interest that may be construed to influence the results or interpretation of their manuscript. All sources of financial support for the project should be disclosed.

7. *Fundamental errors in published works*

When an author discovers a significant error or inaccuracy in his/her own published work, it is the author's obligation to promptly notify the journal editor or publisher and to cooperate with the editor to retract or correct the paper in form of an erratum.

D. Publisher's confirmation

In cases of alleged or proven scientific misconduct, fraudulent publication or plagiarism the publisher, in close collaboration with the Editors-in-Chief, will take all appropriate measures to clarify the situation and to amend the article in question. This includes the prompt publication of an erratum or, in the most severe cases, the complete retraction of the affected work. The Publisher and the Journal do not discriminate on the basis of age, color, religion, creed, disability, marital status, veteran status, national origin, race, gender, genetic predisposition or carrier status, or sexual orientation in its publishing programs, services and activities.

Peer Review Process

Peer Review Statement

The Sciencetech uses double-blind review, which means that both the reviewer and author identities are concealed from the reviewers, and vice versa, throughout the review process.

To facilitate this, authors need to ensure that their manuscripts are prepared in a way that does not give away their identity. To help with this preparation please ensure the following when submitting to "**The Sciencetech**".

Submit following Two (2) separate files

1. The Title Page containing the authors details
2. Blinded Manuscript with no author details

Information to help prepare the Title Page

This should include the title, authors' names and affiliations, and a complete address for the corresponding author including telephone and e-mail address.

Information to help prepare the Blinded Manuscript

Besides the obvious need to remove names and affiliations under the title within the manuscript, there are other steps that need to be taken to ensure the manuscript is correctly prepared for double-blind peer review. To assist with this process, the key items that need to be observed are as follows:

- Use the third person to refer to work the Authors have previously undertaken, e.g., replace any phrases like "as we have shown before" with "... has been shown before [Anonymous, 2021]".
- Make sure figures do not contain any affiliation related identifier
- Do not eliminate essential self-references or other references but limit self-references only to papers that are relevant for those reviewing the submitted paper.
- Cite papers published by the Author in the text as follows: '[Anonymous, 2021]'.
- For blinding in the reference list: '[Anonymous 2021] Details omitted for double-blind reviewing.'
- Remove references to funding sources
- Do not include acknowledgments
- Remove any identifying information, including author names, from file names and ensure document properties are also anonymized.

Plagiarism Policy

HEC Plagiarism Policy

Fee Waiver Policy

Fee Waiver Policy*

The Sciencetech, provides instant **open access** to its content on the principle that making research freely available to the public, contributes a greater global knowledge exchange. Full-text access to scientific articles of the journal is presented on the official website in the [Archive](#) section.

The Sciencetech is an open access journal, which means all its content is freely available without charge to the user or his/her institution. Users are allowed to read, download, copy, distribute, print, search, or link to the full texts of the articles, or use them for any other lawful purpose, without asking prior permission from the publisher or the author as long as they cite the source. The journal is licensed by Creative Commons under [CC BY-SA 4](#). This license lets others remix, adapt, and build upon our work even for commercial purposes, along as they credit us and license their new creations under the identical terms.

Moreover, "**The Sciencetech**" charges a modest publication fee to authors that is based on a sliding scale, providing reduced rates, from 50% to 100% fee waiver off for foreign authors and Qurtuba University faculty members.

For Qurtuba University Faculty:

Articles from Qurtuba University faculty members will be published free of any charges once a year for the same faculty member.

For Foreign Scholars:

Foreign scholars will be given a 50 to 100% waiver on the total publication charges for one manuscript if it is submitted as first author.

The Sciencetech reserve the right to approve or reject any waiver application. Waiver decision will be communicated to the corresponding author within one week after the request is received.

* The Sciencetech is not charging any fee at moment and is free of charge for limited period.

Waiver applications should be submitted before submitting the manuscript online to *The Sciencetech* journal.

Please include the below details when requesting a fee waiver:

Name of the author and co-authors

Name and address of Institution

Manuscript title

Manuscript abstract

Reasons for requesting a fee waiver

Authorship Policy

Authorship Policy

The authorship policy of "*The Sciencetech*" refers to the agreement on the part of the author to comply the defined ethical standards of this journal. We believe that the publication in this journal is an essential building block in the development of the coherent network of knowledge. It is a direct reflection of the quality of work of the author and the institutions that support them. Hence authors should comply the following ethical and behavioral standards.

- **Authorship of the paper:** Authorship should be limited to those who have made a significant contribution to the conception, design, execution, or interpretation of the reported study. Transparency about the contributions of authors is encouraged, that is to be submitted in an "authorship statement".
- **Originality and plagiarism:** The authors should ensure that they have written entirely original works, and if the authors have used the work and/or words of others, that this has been appropriately cited or quoted.
- **Data access and retention:** Authors may be asked to provide the raw data in connection with a paper for editorial review, and should be prepared to provide public access to such data.
- **Multiple, redundant or concurrent publication:** An author should not in general publish manuscripts describing essentially the same research in more than one journal or primary publication. "The Dialogue" does not view the following uses of a work as prior publication: publication in the form of an abstract; publication as an academic thesis.
- **Acknowledgement of sources:** Proper acknowledgment of the work of others must always be given.
- **Disclosure and conflicts of interest:** All submissions must include disclosure of all relationships that could be viewed as presenting a potential "**conflict of interest**".

- **Fundamental errors in published works:** When an author discovers a significant error or inaccuracy in his/her own published work, it is the author's obligation to promptly notify the journal editor or publisher and cooperate with the editor to retract or correct the paper.
- **Changes to authorship:** Authors are strongly advised to ensure the correct author group, the Corresponding Author, and the order of authors at submission. Changes of authorship by adding or deleting authors, and/or changes in Corresponding Author, and/or changes in the sequence of authors are not accepted after acceptance of a manuscript.
- **Confidentiality:** Authors should treat all communication with the Journal as confidential which includes correspondence with direct representatives from the Journal such as Editors-in-Chief and/or Handling Editors and reviewers' reports unless explicit consent has been received to share information.
- **Authorship issues or disputes:** In the case of an authorship dispute during peer review or after acceptance and publication, the Journal will not be in a position to investigate or adjudicate. Authors will be asked to resolve the dispute themselves. If they are unable the Journal reserves the right to withdraw a manuscript from the editorial process or in case of a published paper raise the issue with the authors' institution(s) and abide by its guidelines.
- **Affiliation:** The primary affiliation for each author should be the institution where the majority of their work was done. If an author has subsequently moved, the current address may additionally be stated. Addresses will not be updated or changed after publication of the article.

Authorship Statement

Authorship Statement The Sciencetech

Manuscript Title:

All persons who meet authorship criteria are listed as authors, and all authors certify that they have participated sufficiently in the work to take public responsibility for the content, including participation in the concept, design, analysis, writing, or revision of the manuscript. Furthermore, each author certifies that this material or similar material has not been and will not be submitted to or published in any other publication before its appearance in the "The Sciencetech".

Authorship Contributions

Please indicate the specific contributions made by each author. The name of each author must appear at least once in each of the three categories below.

Category 1

Conception and design of study: _____, _____, _____

Acquisition of data: _____, _____, _____.

Analysis and/or interpretation of data: _____, _____, _____

Category 2

Drafting the manuscript: _____, _____, _____.

Revising the manuscript critically for important intellectual content: _____, _____,
_____.

Category 3

Approval of the version of the manuscript to be published (the names of all authors must be listed):

_____, _____, _____.

This statement is signed by all the authors:

Author's name:

1. _____

2. _____

3. _____

Allegation of Research Misconduct Policy

Allegations of Research Misconduct Policy

The Sciencetech, quarterly research journal by Qurtuba University of Science & Information Technology, policy for managing allegations of research misconduct is based on the guidelines of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE), available at THE LINK. Authors are required to read the journal's author instruction and ethical policies carefully and to adhere to the terms before submission. Report of research misconduct may be related to a published article or a manuscript under peer review process.

The procedure for the application and management of complaints of author misconduct should proceed with sensitivity, tact, in confidence, and in the following manner:

1. The editorial office of the journal receives a complaint that an article submitted to or published in the journal is suspected of containing research misconduct.
2. The complainant needs to clearly indicate the specific manner and detail of misconduct; for example, in a case of plagiarism, the plagiarized paragraph should be clearly highlighted and the original and suspected articles should be referred to clearly.
3. The editorial office will conduct an investigation, during which time the editor of the journal and the corresponding author(s) of the suspected article will be in contact.
4. The corresponding author(s) will be asked to provide an explanation with factual statements and any available evidence.
5. If the author(s) of the suspected article accepts the misconduct complaint, the editorial office will take the following actions depending on the situation:
 - a. If the article has been published, an erratum or retraction may be necessary to remedy the situation. However, there may still be disagreement concerning the appropriate wording of the description.
 - b. If the misconduct is reported during the review process, the review process may continue, with the author(s) making the relevant changes.
6. In the case of nonresponse in the stipulated time or an unsatisfactory explanation, the article may be permanently retracted or rejected. Before making a decision, confirmation will be sought from the experts of the relevant institution or other authorities as required.
7. The complainant will be informed of the outcome once the issue is resolved.
8. The complaint case will there upon be considered concluded.

Creative Common



The Sciencetech By Qurtuba University of Science & Information Technology

The Sciencetech provides instant **open access** to its content on the principle that making research freely available to the public, contributes a greater global knowledge exchange. Full-text access to scientific articles of the journal is presented on the official website in the [Archive](#) section.

The Science is an open access journal, which means all its content is freely available without charge to the user or his/her institution. Users are allowed to read, download, copy, distribute, print, search, or link to the full texts of the articles, or use them for any other lawful purpose, without asking prior permission from the publisher or the author as long as they cite the source. The journal is licensed by Creative Commons under [CC BY-SA 4](#). This license lets others remix, adapt, and build upon our work even for commercial purposes, as long as they credit us and license their new creations under the identical terms.

Disclaimer

This is for the information of public at large that Qurtuba university of Science & Information Technology publishes three research journals namely, The Dialogue, The Journal of Managerial Sciences and The Sciencetech. An impression has been created in the general public that Qurtuba university is involved in inviting researchers for registering their research papers in the research conferences (local or foreign conferences) and in collecting publication fee from them.

Qurtuba university disclaims all such impressions and notions and categorically states that the university has no business with any kind of transaction pertaining to inviting researchers for registering their research papers in local or foreign research conferences or collecting any fee on this account. However, the university accepts research papers from researchers on prescribed procedure available on the university Official Website. Besides, it is also clarified that the university does not entertain Acceptance Letter(s) with digital signature and also scanned copy, as the same are considered fake. Therefore, the university will not entertain any claim for such fake acceptance letter(s), as the same is considered as violation of the standard operating procedure of the university.

Therefore, the general public and for that matter, those researchers who desire to publish their research papers in the aforesaid research journals of the university, are advised to strictly follow the guidelines given on the university official website.

Prof Dr. Muhammad Mansoor Khan ,
Editor,
The Sciencetech

Subscribe to The Sciencetech

To subscribe to The Sciencetech please write/call/send Email to

Editor,

The Sciencetech,
Qurtuba University of Science & IT,
K-1, Phase III, Hayatabad,
Peshawar ,
K.P.K Pakistan

Tel:92-92-5812117, 5813322, 5825707

Fax: 92-91-5825837

Email: thesciencetech@qurtuba.edu.pk

The Sciencetech is a quarterly research journal. The annual subscription changes are as under:-

Pakistan: **Rs. 1200**

International: **\$ 100**